Application No.: 10/591,292 Docket No.: 4614-0205PUS1

Reply dated November 3, 2011 Page 7 of 8

Reply dated November 3, 2011 Reply to Office Action of August 18, 2011

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's thorough consideration provided the present

application. Claims 1-13, 44-47, 49 and 50 are now present in the application. No claims have

been amended in this Reply. Claims 1 and 44 are independent. Reconsideration of this

application is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections Under Obviousness-type Double Patenting

Claims 1-13 and 44-46 provisionally stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine

of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 124, 126-128, 131-133

and 228 of co-pending Application No. 12/440,438. Claims 1-13, 44-46, 49 and 50 provisionally

stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being

unpatentable over claims 1-18 and 28 of co-pending Application No. 11/885,486. These

rejections are respectfully traversed.

Applicant respectfully submits that that the present application was filed **prior to** the '438

Application and the '486 Application. According to MPEP § 804(I)(B)(1), once the present

application is in condition for allowance except for the obviousness-type double patenting rejection,

this application should be allowed without the necessity of filing a Terminal Disclaimer. Since

there are no other rejections allowance except for the obviousness-type double patenting rejections,

this application should be allowed.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of these rejections are respectfully requested.

PCL/GH/ma

Application No.: 10/591,292 Reply dated November 3, 2011

Reply to Office Action of August 18, 2011

CONCLUSION

All the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed and/or rendered moot.

Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all presently pending

rejections and that they be withdrawn.

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the Office Action, and

that as such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to send the application to Issue.

In the event there are any matters remaining in this application, the Examiner is invited to

contact Cheng-Kang (Greg) Hsu, Registration No. 61,007 at (703) 205-8000 in the Washington,

D.C. area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: November 3, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

Paul C. Lewis

Registration No.: 43368

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 4614-0205PUS1

Page 8 of 8

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

703-205-8000

Cheng-Kang Hsu Reg. No. 61,007